Office of Cancer Centers

Summary of 2013 FOA/Guidelines Changes

Revised November 19, 2013

Component	Changes
Director's Overview and Six	
Essential Characteristics	
Organizational Capabilities	Additions Integration of education and training of biomedical researchers and health care professionals, including those from underserved populations, into programmatic research efforts
	Focus on cancer research in the catchment area
	<u>Deletions</u> Overlap with requirements of Planning and Evaluation Component
	Data on non-aligned members
	<u>Clarifications</u> Centers may vary in the nature and range of training and education activities they sponsor
 Transdisciplinary Collaboration and Coordination 	Additions Movement of findings through the translational pipeline via coordination with NCI and other peer-reviewed funding mechanisms
	Interactive activities beyond grants and publications are acceptable
	Encouragement of collaborative links with other centers, institutions, industry
• Institutional Commitment	Additions Recognition of team science in promotion and tenure policies

• Center Director	Additions Management and use of authorities and resources to advance the center's research mission Deletions Overlap with institutional commitment re director's authorities
Consortia	Additions Ongoing, tangible commitments from all consortium partners to the cancer center Examples of acceptable forms of 'tangible' support Deletions Requirement that all clinical trials must be open and available in all partner institutions Clarifications Requirement for each consortium partner to hold a portfolio of peer-reviewed cancer related grants Requirement that consortium operate as one cohesive center at time of application Role of the grantee and consortium partners in joint planning and evaluation processes
Senior Leadership	Additions Expanded role for senior leadership in establishing a vision, fostering basic discovery and appropriate translation, enabling a focus on cancer research in the catchment area, and establishing a process for integrating training and education into programmatic research Examples of how the center might document senior leadership involvement in integration of training Examples relevant to enabling a research focus in the catchment area are in
Administration	Additions Focus on oversight of activities relevant to the CCSG application process Clarifications

	Administrative functions and subsequent applicability of review criteria may vary, based on organization's structure
Developmental Funds	Additions Opportunities to share meritorious resources across centers, fund staff investigators (including a new category focused on special populations research), and support global health pilot projects
	Leadership and participation in clinical trials as evidence of success for new recruits
	<u>Clarifications</u> Developmental funds are restricted
Shared Resources	Additions More emphasis on support of science as opposed to usage metrics
	Language on institutional resources and those supported by other NIH mechanisms
	Purchase of small equipment
	Deletions Usage and capacity tables
	Clinical Protocol and Data Management as a shared resource
Clinical Protocol and Data Management	Additions Broader range of functions eligible for CCSG support, including those focused on speeding the clinical trials process, reporting for CTRP
	New Review criteria added for CPDM
	Instructions for DSM plans and budgets
	Modifications Table on accrual to interventional trials shortened and clarified, based on Data Table 4
	Review criteria for DSM shortened

<u>Inclusion of Women and Minorities</u>	Additions All centers provide plans for recruitment and retention of women and minorities
	Accrual of women and minorities to non-interventional studies, per NIH policy
	Optional inclusion of information on other underserved populations
Protocol Review and Monitoring System	Additions Encouragement of 2 stage review (concept then full protocol)
	Request for information on how the center assesses efficiency of functions, greater emphasis on documentation of process
	Simplified reporting templates
	One time opportunity for re-evaluation during the project period in cases of conditional- or dis- approval
	Text on special considerations for accrual to trials involving rare cancers and targeted therapies, along with link to policy/list of rare cancers
	Clarifications Full scientific review should focus on institutional and industry trials
	Processes for re-evaluation in cases of conditional- or dis-approval
	Procedures for review of institutional trials from other centers
Early Phase Clinical Research	Additions Expands use of funds to confur phase clinical research activities as a simposing scene.
<u>Support</u> (formerly Protocol Specific Research Support)	Expands use of funds to early phase clinical research activities, e.g., imaging scans, pharmacodynamic studies and support for IDE or IND applications
	Requests for information on studies to be supported and outcomes of former studies
Supportive Data/ Data Tables (formerly Standard Cancer Center Summary Information/Summaries)	For other changes, consult "Summary of Changes in the 2013 CCSG Data Guide"
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Research Programs

Additions

Opportunity to request program development funds in addition to salary support

For basic science, specific language recognizing non-translational endpoints

Examples of how scientific findings are advanced

For clinical programs, focus on quality of trials, participation/leadership in NCTN, institutional trials that capitalize on center research

Focus on how the center addresses cancer research in its catchment area, with examples

Broader range of activities to document collaboration

Value added by shared resources

Stronger language on participation of clinical investigators in program

Definition of 'cancer health disparities'

Instructions on handling PMCID numbers in the application

Deletions

Requirements for agendas, data on non-aligned members, benchmarks for collaborative publications and clinical trial accrual

Modifications

Listing only of those publications demonstrating impact/collaborative activity

Review criteria addressing accrual issues for trials of rare cancers and targeted therapies

Requirement for at least 5 peer-reviewed and funded research projects in a Program

Clarifications

Definition of 'cancer-related'

Crediting of collaborative publications across multiple programs

<u>Comprehensiveness</u>	Modifications 1-stage review focusing on quality/interactivity of science, effectiveness in serving the catchment area through research, and how the scientific mission of the center is enhanced by integration of training/ education into programmatic research efforts
Review Process	Addition Application only option, with new eligibility criteria and review process
	Deletions Limited site visit option
	Scoring for 'Overall Quality of the Programs'
	Shared resource logs, minutes for retreats and program meetings
	<u>Modifications</u>
	Posters optional, but updated information may be provided in the slide book
	Review materials to be available (after submission of the application) for reviews with site visits and "Application Only" (i.e., no site visit). Note: For applications being site visited, it will be important to consult with the SRO for additional guidance.
Other/Overall	Additions
	Additional guidance on defining 'catchment area' in multiple components
	Request for LOI 2 months in advance of submission
	Modifications Review criteria presented as questions
	'Competitive Revision Applications to 'Revisions'; 'Administrative Revision Applications' to 'Administrative Supplements'; 'Percent Effort' to 'Person Months'; 'Principal Investigator' to 'Project Director/Principal Investigator'
	Address and telephone numbers for OCC have been changed
	<u>Deletions</u>
	\$500K/1M letter of agreement to accept the application

P30 Funding	Additions Guidance for T2 budgets, with caps at various levels based on current DC award
	Deletions Elimination of Benchmark Ratio
	Modifications Eligibility requirement for application raised to \$10 M
	Clarifications
	Funding policies and factors influencing award levels